

A. LODGED

- 4/02985/14/FUL Thompson
ADDITION OF NEW TWO STOREY ONE BED DWELLING WITH
REAR RETAINING WALL, ASSOCIATED GARAGE PARKING AND
NEW ACCESS FROM ST PAULS ROAD.
238 QUEENSWAY, HEMEL HEMPSTEAD, HP2 5DF
[View online application](#)
- 4/03142/14/FUL Bray
DEMOLITION OF EXISTING DWELLING AND ERECTION OF THREE
NEW DWELLINGS
7 PICKFORD ROAD, MARKYATE, ST. ALBANS, AL3 8RS
[View online application](#)
- 4/03176/14/RET Sure Trading Ltd
RETENTION OF SINGLE STOREY SIDE EXTENSION, LOFT
CONVERSION AND EXTENSION. EXTENDED AND ALTERED
DRIVEWAY
8 MANOR ROAD, TRING, HP235DA
[View online application](#)

B. WITHDRAWN

None

C. FORTHCOMING INQUIRIES

None

D. FORTHCOMING HEARINGS

None

E. DISMISSED

4/01275/14/FUL MR & MRS BANDY
CONSTRUCTION OF TWO-STOREY FOUR-BEDROOM
DWELLING WITH DETACHED GARAGE AND ANCILLARY
WORKS
LAND ADJ. THE SPINNEY, CHIPPERFIELD ROAD, KINGS
LANGLEY, WD4 9LY
[View online application](#)

The appeal was dismissed. The Inspector considered that the proposed new dwelling would constitute limited infilling in the Green Belt however the loss of openness occurs through the presence of a built form within the Green Belt, in which the inspector considered that the loss would be significant in this case due to the scale and mass of the built form. The Inspector considered that the proposal would not materially conflict with any of the purposes of the Green Belt but would have a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt so would not qualify as an exception to inappropriate development in the NPPF.

4/01878/14/FHA MR & MRS BROWNE
CONSTRUCTION OF FRONT PORCH
STUART HOUSE, FERRERS HILL FARM, PIPERS LANE,
MARKYATE, ST. ALBANS, AL3 8QG
[View online application](#)

The appeal was dismissed. The Inspector considered that the proposed porch would have unacceptable impact to the listed building due to the arched canopy and supports detracting from the balance and proportions of the front of the building.

4/02067/13/FUL MR AND MRS C HENRY
DEMOLITION OF EXISTING HOUSE AND CONSTRUCTION OF
FOUR BED DWELLING (AMENDED SCHEME)
WOODLAND VIEW, ROSSWAY, BERKHAMSTED, HP4 3UD
[View online application](#)

The proposal would be inappropriate development in the Green Belt and the Framework establishes that substantial weight should be given to any harm to the Green Belt. In addition there would be a slight loss of openness; despite the demolition of the barn, the barn and the bungalow combined do not add up to the floor area of the proposed house, whichever calculation is used.

On the other hand, the design of the proposed dwelling would be an improvement on the design of the existing bungalow. However, whilst I consider this design to be an

improvement I do not consider this to have significant weight, due to the unobtrusiveness of the existing bungalow and the improved design of the fall back position. I do not consider therefore that this consideration clearly outweighs the totality of harm. Very special circumstances do not exist and therefore I conclude that the appeal should be dismissed.

F. ALLOWED

None