



DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
16 MARCH 2023

ADDENDUM SHEET

Item 5a

22/02805/FUL Replacement dwelling

The Woodlands, Wayside, Chipperfield, Hertfordshire, WD4 9JJ

No further updates.

Recommendation

As per the published report.

Item 5b

22/03818/FUL Installation of 2 ANPR cameras to be mounted on a single pole together with modifications to frontage fencing

The Bridgewater Arms Car Park, Nettleden Road North, Little Gaddesden, Hertfordshire, HP4 1PD

Revised comments from Little Gaddesden Parish Council:

The purpose of this email is to advise you that Little Gaddesden Parish Council are withdrawing their objection in connection with the item below:

5b 22/03818/FUL - Installation of 2 ANPR cameras to be mounted on a single pole together with modifications to frontage fencing - The Bridgewater Arms Car Park Nettleden Road North Little Gaddesden Berkhamsted Hertfordshire HP4 1PD

The reason for the withdrawal is because Savills have advised LGPC that they are happy with the Officers' report in this regard. LGPC will not therefore be sending any Cllr's to the meeting to discuss this.

Recommendation

As per the published report.

Item 5c

22/03819/ADV Joint application for full planning permission for 2no. ANPR cameras mounted on a single pole together with modifications to frontage fencing and express consent for 5no. information signs relating to parking control measures (amended scheme).

The Bridgewater Arms Car Park, Nettleden Road North, Little Gaddesden, Hertfordshire, HP4 1PD

No further updates.

Recommendation

As per the published report.

Item 5d

23/00139/FHA Single storey front extensions and alterations, part two and part single storey rear extension

31 Cemetery Hill, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, HP1 1JF


Additional comments / photos received from ward councillor:

Objection to extension at 31 Cemetery Hill



- Bulk and Mass of the extension is excessive, especially considering the height difference between 31 and 29
- Intrusion and loss of light on 29 will be excessive, particularly as the plans do not show 45 degrees on the elevation

In these ways the extension is contrary to **Core Strategy section 12** c) and g) (particularly ii, iii, v & vi) which state that development should:

- c) avoid visual intrusion... and disturbance to surrounding properties.
- g) respect adjoining properties in terms of
 - i. layout
 - ii. site coverage
 - iii. scale
 - iv. Security
 - v. Height
 - vi. bulk



The difference in height at foundation level is 1m. This accentuates the bulk and intrusion of the two storey extension



The bulk and intrusion is amplified by the extension coming out 4m further than No 29 at first floor level and 1 m at ground floor

Recommendation

As per the published report.

Item 5e

23/00102/FHA Demolition of existing rear extension, new side infill side extension with rooflights and oriel window seat. Relandscaping of rear garden with new levelled patio. Conversion of attic to accommodate a bedroom/study. New rear dormer and front and rear rooflights.

11 North Road, Berkhamsted, Hertfordshire, HP4 3DU

Revised Berkhamsted Town Council Comments received:

No Objection

Committee noted number of amendments including maintenance of existing ridge. The potential impact on the side access was noted.

Recommendation

This application has now been determined under delegated powers with the agreement of the Chair of Development Management Committee.
