

Strategic Planning & Environment OSC Action Points - Jan

Date of meeting	Action point	Responsible officer	Date action completed	Response
12 October 2022	HPeacock and MParr to check if prediction of 30,000 cars by 2030 includes development of local plan	H Peacock	30/12/22	LF sent to committee 01/12/22
12 October 2022	To circulate the outstanding debt figure.	A Robinson	31/10/22	LF sent to committee 31/10/22
8 Nov 2022	H Peacock to report back complaints figures. (complaints policy)	H peacock	14/11/22	LF sent to committee 01/12/22
8 Nov 2022	Richard Le Brun's team to contact Ashridge about dumping of green waste and invasive species	R Lebrun		Ongoing
6 Dec 2022	Further update on digitalisation to be included as part of the quarterly report.	A Robinson		To be completed on next report cycle
10 Jan 2023	ARobinson to provide Committee with threshold for which developments biodiversity net gains applies to	A Robinson	21/01/2023	In January 2022, Defra undertook a consultation on Biodiversity Net Gain Regulations and Implementation that sought views on the following: 'Proposals We are proposing to make the following exemptions using our regulation-making powers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. developments impacting habitat areas below a 'de minimis' (minimal) threshold 2. householder applications 3. change of use applications

				<p>We are also considering whether to make exemptions for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4. creation of biodiversity gain sites 5. self-build and custom housebuilding <p>And we are proposing to not apply the following exemptions, some of which were discussed in the previous net gain consultation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 6. brownfield sites which meet set criteria 7. temporary permissions 8. developments for which permitted development rights are not applicable due to their location in conservation areas or national park'. <p>The results of this consultation have not yet been released and a decision is still to be issued by Government on the thresholds and exemptions. This is expected to be included within secondary legislation.</p>
10 Jan 2023	A Robinson to check timelines for 10% uplift delivery	A Robinson	25/01/2023	There is no guidance on this within the information currently released by Government.
10 Jan 2023	A Robinson to look into policy CS14 and creation of 10,000 jobs alongside claim from Hemel Garden Communities of 10,000 jobs.	A Robinson	25/01/2023	<p>Information on the number of jobs created since the adoption of the Core Strategy (2013) is recorded annually in the Authority Monitoring Report (AMR).</p> <p>The 2020/21 AMR showed the net change in jobs from 2006-2020 to be in excess of 10,000 set out in CS14. This demonstrates that policy CS14 has been achieved and that the claim from Hemel Garden Communities of 10,000 jobs would be in addition.</p> <p>The following job numbers are extracted from the 2020/21 AMR: This shows a net increase in jobs since 2006, however there are some concerns over the accuracy of figures</p>

				<p>5.1 Core Strategy Policy CS14 proposes a net increase of 10,000 jobs in Dacorum over the plan period (2006-2031). This is an increase of approximately 15%. The jobs growth target was recommended by the Dacorum Employment Land Update 2011. This report forecast significant growth during the plan period of office jobs (+7,300) and non-B[1] jobs (+5,900), but a decline in industry and warehousing jobs (-3,400).</p> <p>5.2 Employee jobs^[2] in the Borough fell during the 2008-2009 recession, but have recovered strongly since 2010 and are now well above pre-recession levels. Job numbers increased significantly by 26,000 between 2018 and 2019 (Table 5.1) and remained high in 2020. The overall increase since 2006 is 37,200 jobs. It means the Core Strategy's 2006-2031 jobs growth target has already been achieved. This is a very good performance given the length and depth of the recent recession. One reason for the strong jobs growth is the Council's proactive approach towards promoting economic development.</p> <p>Table 5.1: Employee jobs 2006-2020</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>2006</th> <th>2011</th> <th>2016</th> <th>2017</th> <th>2018</th> <th>20</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>58,800</td> <td>57,200</td> <td>68,000</td> <td>68,000</td> <td>69,000</td> <td>95</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Source: NOMIS Office for National Statistics (ONS). 2006 figures from the Annual Business Inquiry (ABI).</p> <p>5.3 The job growth figures should be treated with caution, although it is the best available for this purpose. As explained in Appendix A to the South West Hertfordshire Economic Study</p>	2006	2011	2016	2017	2018	20	58,800	57,200	68,000	68,000	69,000	95
2006	2011	2016	2017	2018	20											
58,800	57,200	68,000	68,000	69,000	95											

^[1] Non-B jobs are jobs not within Use Classes B1 (business use), B2 (general industry) and B8 (storage and distribution).

^[2] The number of employee jobs is defined as 'the number of jobs held by employees and excludes the self-employed, Government supported trainees and HM Forces

				<p>Update (2018), there are often inaccuracies in the data. In reality, it is most unlikely that job growth in Dacorum between 2018 and 2019 was anything like as high as indicated by Table 5.1.</p> <p>5.4 Services continue to dominate employee jobs by sector in the local economy (Table 5.2). However, the very high jobs figure for administration and support services (33,000) is almost certainly a substantial over-estimate, given that the 2018 figure for this sector was only 8,000 jobs. Other large sectors in Dacorum are retail; professional, scientific and technical; information and communication; education, health and social work; transport and storage; and information and communication. The role of knowledge-based industries is becoming more important in Dacorum, as it is nationally.</p>
10 Jan 2023	ARobinson to request an update on the Dacorum Economic Recovery Plan.	A Robinson	25/01/2023	Sent via email 25/01/2023
1 Feb 2023	ARobinson to look into wording of refused applications KPI.	ARobinson		The reason for the increase in refusals during this period is because a number of unacceptable applications were refused by some Officers who were winding up legacy cases before departing.