

CIL Funding Assessment		Outcome of application:	
Name of grant application/ organisation:		Total number of points:	
Title of project:		Project Location	
Amount requested:		Amount awarded:	

Assessment criteria	Scoring	Total Points Available	Points allocated	Reason
<p>Does the proforma explain how the project meets the CIL Regulations. 59. — (1) A charging authority must apply CIL to funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure to support the development of its area.</p> <p>(2) CIL applied by the Mayor to funding infrastructure must be applied to funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of roads or other transport facilities, including, in particular, funding for the purposes of, or in connection with, scheduled works within the meaning of Schedule 1 to the Crossrail Act 200855.</p> <p>(3) A charging authority may apply CIL to funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure outside its area where to do so would support the development of its area.</p> <p>(4) For the purposes of this regulation, any reference to applying CIL includes a reference to causing it to be applied, and includes passing CIL to another person for that person to apply to funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure.</p> <p>(5) This regulation is subject to regulations 59A, 59E, 59F, 60 and 61.</p>	Yes or No	Pass or Fail		
1				
2	Is it possible for the project to be delivered without the use of CIL funding? For example, using Neighbourhood CIL.	Yes or No	Pass or Fail	
3	Is this a strategic project? Strategic for the purposes of this criteria is an infrastructure project that is not linked to mitigating the impact of a single or small (less than 5) development/s and will benefit a significant population (more than 1000 people)	Yes or No	Pass or Fail	

4	What infrastructure category does the project fall into:	<p>Critical Infrastructure (20 points): This defines strategic infrastructure which must happen to enable growth. These projects will be identified in adopted and emerging local plan policies for the area and/or masterplan as a planning requirement and may be required to directly service the development, for example transport and utilities infrastructure.</p> <p>Essential Infrastructure (15 points): This defines strategic infrastructure that is essential and considered necessary in order to mitigate the impact arising from the operation of the development. Such infrastructure works will often be phased to be delivered alongside development as key thresholds are met. This may include projects which have been identified by the Council as a corporate priority.</p> <p>Desirable Infrastructure (10 points): This defines infrastructure which is required to support wider strategic or site specific objectives which are established in planning policy, under statutory duties or other priorities but would not necessarily prevent development from occurring. This also defined topping up funding for projects that have already obtained funding from other government agencies.</p> <p>Low Priority Infrastructure (5 points): This defines infrastructure which is not recognised or identified as a result of our normal infrastructure planning work and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.</p>	20		
5	Will the project have a street, neighbourhood, settlement or borough wide impact?	<p>Street - 2</p> <p>Neighbourhood - 4</p> <p>Settlement - 10</p> <p>Borough Wide - 15</p>	15		
6	Information provided on how project will benefit any areas of deprivation.	Up to 5 points available if the project can illustrate how areas of deprivation will be positively impacted.	5		
7	Have details been provided for the funding requested including any quotes, a breakdown of costs and details of any funding being used for the project additional to that requested, if applicable.	<p>5 points: Detailed breakdown supplied including (if appropriate) detailed recent costings and confidence that external factors that may affect cost have been considered. Market engagement in terms of supply and costs with potential suppliers to ensure that the project can be delivered.</p> <p>2 points: Modest detail provided.</p> <p>0 points: No information given.</p>	5		
8	Does any part of the project have any other secured sources of funding (i.e. is it match funded). Details of additional funding should be provided.	<p>5 points: Evidence of successful award of external funding or capital (HCC)</p> <p>3 points: Commitment for additional funding from voluntary sector, sponsors, external agency or pending application</p> <p>1 points: Modest financial deficit and bridging strategy</p>	5		
9	Are their alternative sources of funding that could be used for the delivery of the project (eg: CIL Neighbourhood Funds). Details should be provided.	<p>10 points: No alternative sources of funding available</p> <p>5 points: Alternative sources of funding available but not accessible due to timescales, lack of resources to apply etc</p> <p>CIL monies will not be allocated if alternative funding readily available/available but not sought (refer back to question 2)</p>	10		
10	Have details been provided as to how future management, maintenance and revenue costs will be funded/resourced to ensure that quality is maintained.	<p>10 points: A comprehensive, fully costed, plan supplied.</p> <p>5 points: Some detail provided.</p> <p>0 points: No information given.</p> <p>*Note that CIL monies will not be allocated if it is clear that there is an ongoing management/maintenance requirement that has not been considered and any CIL funds invested has a high risk of not having a long term strategic impact.</p>	10		
11	What are the timescales for delivering the project? Detail is required on how it is realistic and deliverable. Project plan should be supplied.	Up to 10 points allocated if the project can commence within one year of the application for funds, the project plan is robust and clear mitigation information is provided.	10		
12	Is the project able to commence within the next 6 months? If not, adequate details regarding barriers to commencing delivery should be supplied.	Up to 5 points may be awarded for those projects which do not require additional approvals or to which there are limited obstacles to delivery.	5		
13	Are there any barriers and/or risks to delivering the project?	Up to 20 points allocated if the project has low risk of delivery and supplies robust and clear detail regarding risk, monitoring and mitigation thereof.	20		

14	Detail should be provided regarding the economic, social, health and wellbeing and environmental benefits the project will bring.	Projects serving significant numbers of residents will be awarded up to 20 additional points	20		
15	Detail provided on how the project will enable any further development, projects, or outcomes to come forward in the future.	Projects unlocking significant downstream impacts will be awarded up to 5 additional points	5		
16	Appropriate demonstration of how this project will demonstrate value for money.	Up to 20 points for delivering value for money - criteria to be confirmed in consultation with procurement	20		