FEEDBACK ON TRAINING & DEVELOPMENT

Attended: 16   Returned Feedback forms: 16

Aims and Objectives:
As the title suggests, Nick will describe how he and his team answer consultations by DBC on planning applications as well as advising on the discharge of planning conditions, monitoring S106 funds and managing construction on site where new roads are involved. He will explain how the impact of development is predicted and how that is assessed by HCC in terms of its impact on safety and congestion.

STRENGTHS

- In depth knowledge of what was presented. Well-handled especially with some demanding questions.
- These are the people who make the recommendations.
- Statement that development can only be prevented/refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impact is severe. Question and answer session.
- Clarity of explanation and yes we should like the actual figures.
- Govt debate on the issues.
- Clear, concise, informed
- Knowledgeable and comprehensive
- Clear delineation of what the HCC is responsible for and what they aren't. Good readable slides. Clear informative presentation
- Clear introduction. Improved understanding of what highways authority can and cannot do.
- Post meeting to questions from members was useful. Having Highways engineers present was useful.
- Good exchange of views.
- Learn some basic instructions about development planning.
- Informative but 'dry'
- Good pace to the meeting. Good that questions were kept to the end of the presentation. Very good presenters with excellent knowledge.
- Lots of information

WEAKNESSES

- Questions being outside the subject
- We are a bit critical because we see the rules as weak. This is not a weakness of the presenters but a weakness of the rules.
- The starting time. 7.30pm would have been better than 7pm.
- A lot of 'high theory' and very little focus on 'reality'
- Room too small
- Acronyms.
- Idealistic at times. Any practical measures?
- Too technical. Not sufficient 'real life' examples. Copy of slides would help at the meeting to make notes on.
- Still lots of stuff not clear for me.
- A larger room would have been better.

OPPORTUNITIES

- Examples of cases

- Make obvious immediate decisions. E.g parking bay sizes should be declared as a minimum size of 5.25m x 3m.
- Give examples
- Keeping questions to the point.
- Examples
- Links to website could have been provided for further info.
- Gained knowledge. Can be used in relevant places.
- To understand the methodology behind it.

TRAINING SCORE

Poor	
Adequate	
Good	5
Very good	6
Excellent	5