



# Dacorum Borough Council

## Final Internal Audit Report

### Budgetary Control

May 2018

This report has been prepared on the basis of the limitations set out on page 8.

CONFIDENTIAL

**Distribution List:**

Nigel Howcutt – Assistant Director (Finance and Resources)  
Fiona Jump – Group Manager (Financial Services)  
Caroline Souto – Financial Planning & Analysis Team Leader  
James Deane – Corporate Director (Finance and Operations)  
(Final Report Only)  
Sally Marshall – Chief Executive (Final Report only)

**Key Dates:**

Date of fieldwork: February 2018  
Date of draft report: March 2018  
Receipt of responses: March 2018  
Date of final report: May 2018

This report and the work connected therewith are subject to the Terms and Conditions of the Contract dated 1 April 2015 between Dacorum Borough Council and Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited. This report is confidential and has been prepared for the sole use of Dacorum Borough Council. This report must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we accept no responsibility or liability to any third party who purports to use or rely, for any reason whatsoever, on this report, its contents or conclusions.

# Contents

1

1. Executive Summary .....	1
2. Scope of Assignment .....	3
3. Assessment of Control Environment.....	4
4. Observations and Recommendations .....	5
Appendix A - Reporting Definitions .....	6
Appendix B - Staff Interviewed.....	7
Statement of Responsibility.....	8

# 1. Executive Summary

## 1.1. Background

As part of the Internal Audit Programme for 2017/18, we have undertaken an audit of the Council's systems of internal control in respect of Budgetary Control.

The Section 151 Officer is responsible for determining the format of the revenue budgets and ensuring that they are prepared on an annual basis for consideration by the Cabinet, before submission to the Council in the fourth quarter of the financial year.

Corporate Directors, and their delegated representatives, are responsible for ensuring that budget estimates reflect agreed service plans and comply with the budget guidance issued by the S151 Officer which will take account of the Council's approved Medium Term Financial Strategy.

The submitted budgets will be scrutinised and endorsed by appropriate Members, including Portfolio Holders. These will be collated by the S151 Officer for submission to a combined meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committees to scrutinise the draft budget, prior to submission to Cabinet.

## 1.2. Audit Objective and Scope

The overall objective of this audit was to provide assurance over the adequacy and effectiveness of current controls over Budgetary Control, and provide guidance on how to improve the current controls going forward.

In summary, the scope covered the following areas: Policies, Procedures and Legislation; Budget Setting; Budget Upload; Budget Monitoring and Management Information; and Budget Adjustments and Virements.

## 1.3. Summary Assessment

Our audit of the Council's internal controls operating over the Budgetary Control found that there is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the system objectives. The controls are being consistently applied.

Our assessment in terms of the design of, and compliance with, the system of internal control covered is set out below:

Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
Full	Full

Management should be aware that our internal audit work was performed according to UK Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) which are different from audits performed in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board. Similarly, the assurance gradings provided in our internal audit report are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board.

Similarly, the assessment gradings provided in our internal audit report are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board. The classifications of our audit assessments and priority ratings definitions for our recommendations are set out in more detail in Appendix A, whilst further analysis of the control environment over Budgetary Control is shown in Section 3.

1.4. **Key Findings**

We identified no areas where we believe there is scope for improvement within the control environment.

1.5. **Management Response**

We did not raise any recommendations to which management response was required.

1.6. **Acknowledgement**

We would like to take this opportunity to thank all staff involved for their time and co-operation during the course of this visit.

## 2. Scope of Assignment

### 2.1. Objective

The overall objective of this audit was to provide assurance that the systems of control in respect of Budgetary Control, with regards to the areas set out in section 2.3, are adequate and being consistently applied.

### 2.2. Approach and Methodology

The following procedures were adopted to identify and assess risks and controls and thus enable us to recommend control improvements:

- Discussions with key members of staff to ascertain the nature of the systems in operation;
- Evaluation of the current systems of internal control through walk-through and other non-statistical sample testing;
- Identification of control weaknesses and potential process improvement opportunities;
- Discussion of our findings with management and further development of our recommendations; and
- Preparation and agreement of a draft report with the process owner.

### 2.3. Areas Covered

The audit was carried out to evaluate and test controls over the following areas:

#### **Policies, Procedures and Legislation**

All members of staff act consistently in compliance with the legislative and management requirements and the budget management functions are conducted in an economic, efficient and effective manner. Responsibility for managing budgets is clearly established and is delegated to appropriately qualified, trained and competent staff.

#### **Budget Setting**

Budgets are set and funds allocated so as to achieve the organisation's strategic and operational objectives.

#### **Budget Upload**

Budgets are appropriately approved and are completely and accurately loaded onto the financial management system in a timely manner.

Budgets are communicated to all the relevant parties to allow effective monitoring by budget holders.

#### **Budget Monitoring and Management Information**

Robust procedures are in place for the monitoring of budgets. Any variances identified are investigated and remedial actions taken, where appropriate, so as to minimise the risk of budget over/underspends.

Timely and accurate financial information is produced on a regular basis and is reported to the appropriate forum to facilitate effective monitoring and decision making.











#### **Budget Adjustments and Virements**

Budget adjustments, including virements, are completely, accurately, and validly processed in a timely manner and supporting documentation is retained to support the actions taken.

### 3. Assessment of Control Environment

The following table sets out in summary the control objectives we have covered as part of this audit, our assessment of risk based on the adequacy of controls in place, the effectiveness of the controls tested and any resultant recommendations.

The classifications of our assessment of risk for the design and operation of controls are set out in more detail in Appendix A.

Control Objectives Assessed	Design of Controls	Operation of Controls	Recommendations Raised
Policies, Procedures & Legislation			
Budget Setting			
Budget Upload			
Budget Monitoring and Management Information			
Budget Adjustments and Virements			

The classifications of our assessment of risk for the design and operation of controls are set out in more detail in Appendix A.





## 4. Observations and Recommendations

No recommendations have been raised as part of this audit.

## Appendix A - Reporting Definitions

### Audit assessment

In order to provide management with an assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of their systems of internal control, the following definitions are used:

Level	Symbol	Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
<b>Full</b>		There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the system objectives.	The controls are being consistently applied.
<b>Substantial</b>		Whilst there is a basically sound system of internal control design, there are weaknesses in design which may place some of the system objectives at risk.	There is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.
<b>Limited</b>		Weaknesses in the system of internal control design are such as to put the system objectives at risk.	The level of non-compliance puts the system objectives at risk.
<b>Nil</b>		Control is generally weak leaving the system open to significant error or abuse.	Significant non-compliance with basic controls leaves the system open to error or abuse.

The assessment gradings provided here are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board and as such the grading of 'Full' does not imply that there are no risks to the stated control objectives.

### Grading of recommendations

In order to assist management in using our reports, we categorise our recommendations according to their level of priority as follows:

Level	Definition
<b>Priority 1</b>	Recommendations which are fundamental to the system and upon which the organisation should take immediate action.
<b>Priority 2</b>	Recommendations which, although not fundamental to the system, provide scope for improvements to be made.
<b>Priority 3</b>	Recommendations concerning issues which are considered to be of a minor nature, but which nevertheless need to be addressed.
<b>System Improvement Opportunity</b>	Issues concerning potential opportunities for management to improve the operational efficiency and/or effectiveness of the system.



## Appendix B - Staff Interviewed

The following personnel were consulted:

- Caroline Souto - Financial Planning & Analysis Team Leader

We would like to thank the staff involved for their co-operation during the audit.

## Statement of Responsibility

We take responsibility for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by us should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud. Our procedures are designed to focus on areas as identified by management as being of greatest risk and significance and as such we rely on management to provide us full access to their accounting records and transactions for the purposes of our work and to ensure the authenticity of such material. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

### **Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited**

#### **London**

#### **May 2018**

This document is confidential and prepared solely for your information. Therefore you should not, without our prior written consent, refer to or use our name or this document for any other purpose, disclose them or refer to them in any prospectus or other document, or make them available or communicate them to any other party. No other party is entitled to rely on our document for any purpose whatsoever and thus we accept no liability to any other party who is shown or gains access to this document.

In this document references to Mazars are references to Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Mazars LLP. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.