June 2015



Finance & Resources - David Skinner							
FR_F02 Delays to Capital p	orogramme						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3	2	6	2	2	4		
Likely	Medium	Amber	Unlikely	Medium	Green		
Consequ	uences	Current	Controls	Assu	rance		
Programme are fundamental to delivery of the Council's corporate objectives. Therefore significant delays can impact on the achievement of the corporate plan. Financial decision-making is negatively affected if the timing of projects in the Capital Programme is wrong. This can result in lost investment income or increased interest costs as the Council moves closer to the point where it will need to borrow. The estimated delivery date is considered as part of the decision to allocate capital funds to one project over another. If estimated timings are not accurate, there is a risk that the allocation of funds is not being decided on appropriately.		The controls that have been this risk target the robustner time they are submitted and phase of the projects. In particular, scrutiny is focut the capital bid that experient cause of delays to capital professional professiona	ass of capital bids both at the distributed throughout the delivery assed on those elements of face indicates are the primary ojects. These include amptions on the estimated it exercise? mated time taken for orks? sumptions on officer roject on time?	Budget approved by Members in February 2013. This an improvement on previous years where slippage against Original Budget has been around 60%. Year As at the end of Quarter 2 2014/15 (the mid-point of the year), the capital forecast on the General Fund is broadly on budget, with no material slippage reported at this stage. Slippage on the HRA capital programme forecast to be well below 5%. The budget position as at Quarter 3 was reported to Cabinet in February 2015. The report showed that forecast net slippage on the General Fund Capital Programme was low at around 3%. Forecast slippage of the HRA Capital Programme has, however, increased significantly since the Quarter 2 forecast, at around			

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 1 of 10

June 2015



Council will be negatively affected which will have consequences for wider financial decision-making.

Not delivering major projects within the timeframe to which it has committed itself exposes the Council to reputational risk.

culture of challenge will lead to more realistic programming of future capital projects, and therefore a reduced likelihood of slippage.

The following controls are in place with a view to developing a culture of scrutiny and challenge for officers to improve the accuracy of future bids:

a?¢ Capital Strategy Steering Group (CSSG) comprising senior officers from across the Council required to challenge new bids for robustness ahead of recommendation to Members;

a?¢ Monthly meetings take place between accountants and budget holders to monitor progress against original timeframes and costs;

â?¢ Corporate Management Team (CMT) receive a monthly report on the progress of capital projects against anticipated timeframes;

and cabinet Members receive a monthly report on the progress of current projects;

â?¢ Reports go to Cabinet and all Overview and Scrutiny Committees (OSC) every quarter. These reports have been redesigned to focus on the more immediate risk of in-year delivery, highlighting higher risk areas to invite closer scrutiny from Members.

The Provisional Outturn was reported to Cabinet in may 2015. The report showed that slippage against the full year budget on the General Fund Capital Programme was around 10%. Outturn on the HRA shows slippage of around 24%. These are addressed in more detail in the sign-off notes, below.

2014/15 Confirmed slippage into 2015/16 was £10.1m or 10% of the total approved capital programme according to the 2014/15 approved accounts.

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 2 of 10

June 2015



Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

KPI FIN06 General Fund capital is within GREEN tolerance at 89.5% of target.

KPI FIN07 HRA Capital is AMBER at 1% over budget.

Significant slippage is anticipated of £3,413k as reported in the quarter 1 monitoring report. This slippage is being monitored and reported with explanations for consideration. Specific focus is being given to the fleet replacement programme with plans to be refined over the summer. Further training is being added to the budget setting process to minimise repeat occurrences of slippage with greater scrutiny and challenge of plans to ensure delivery remains on track.

FR_F03 Variances in General Fund revenue budget						
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:	
Financial	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3 Likely	2 Medium	6 Amber	2 Unlikely	2 Medium	4 Green	
Consec	quences	Current Controls		Assurance		
Accurate, well-controlled budgeting relates directly to the achievement of the Dacorum Delivers corporate objective, and indirectly, through the financial decision-making process, to the achievement of all of the Council's corporate objectives. Inaccurate budgeting negatively affects the Council's ability to make evidence-based decisions. A significant underspend at year-end could indicate that funds have been needlessly diverted from a competing priority. A		The following controls aim to reduce the probability of there being a variance in the General Fund Revenue Budget by ensuring that there is strong challenge put to Budget Holders on the robustness of their assumptions, from a range of audiences. It is intended that these controls will increase the opportunity for flawed assumptions to be exposed as soon as possible, as well as inculcating a stronger culture		The Council's budgetary controls are assessed each year by Internal Audit. In January 2013, the Council received a 'Full' level of assurance. A further Internal Audit on the Council's budgeting process, undertaken in September 2014, resulted in a 'Substantial' level of assurance. Despite this being a lower mark than the exceptional one achieved in the		

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 3 of 10

June 2015



reserves being used to support lower priority objectives. budgets. Both of these could result in reputational damage for the Council.

Failure to address the causes of inaccurate budgeting could negatively impact the Council's culture of financial management, which in turn increases the risk of poor financial decision-making.

The annual budget-setting process consists of an ongoing scrutiny process in which senior officers from across the Council, together with the Financial Services team, challenge the following year's budget bids from Group Managers.

This scrutiny process is augmented by the Budget Review Group (BRG), consisting of Chief Officer Group and representatives from the Portfolio Holder group, which provides early Member-level challenge.

There are two opportunities for OSCs to scrutinise the budget proposals and directly question the relevant officers before the budget report is finalised and considered by Cabinet and Council.

Once approved, in-year budget performance is managed Council has in place. through monthly meetings between accountants and budget holders, which underpin monthly reports to CMT Final confirmed outturn for 2014/15 was within £48k of and quarterly reports to Cabinet and OSCs.

The Council's Financial Regulations provide a guide to all the audited accounts and was consistent with the budget-holders and are subject to annual review.

The recommendations of the Internal Auditor that led to the reduced marking were not systemic in nature, and they do not pose a material threat to the overall control environment of the budget-setting process. Efforts have, however, been redoubled, and the causes of the recommendations have been addressed.

An Internal Audit report on the Council's 'Main Accounting' function was presented to Audit Committee in February 2015, in which a 'Full' level of assurance was awarded. This audit covered a range of areas including integrity of transactions, manual adjustments, and yearend procedures. All of these areas contribute to the accuracy of the in-year monitoring reports that the Finance team is able to produce. Consequently, Members can draw assurance from this audit opinion that the chances of this risk crystallising are reduced by the robust financial management procedures the

budget (after contributions to and from reserves) as reported to Audit committee and approved as part of budget monitoring over the preceding year.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 4 of 10

June 2015



FIN03 is AMBER and currently predicting a 4% overspend (£837k). This is being addressed with action plans introduced through the budget monitoring process setting out how and when the activity will be brought back in line with budget. Specifically the key pressures in Revenues and Benefits are being examined to explore the opportunities for costs reductions and efficiency savings and a review of the waste service reconfiguration is being commissioned with immediate steps being taken to look at the benefits following on from the new waste service.

FR_I02 Failure to optimise income generated by commercial assets						
Category: Infrastructure	Corporate Priority: Dacorum Delivers		Risk Owner: David Skinner	Portfolio Holder: Cllr Graeme Elliot	Tolerance: Treating	
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score	
3 Likely	3 High	9 Amber	2 Unlikely	3 High	6 Amber	
Consec	quences	Current	Controls	Assurance		
Consequences The council has a significant portfolio of commercially let properties, which provides one of the council's largest sources of income. Council officers must attempt to maximise income from these assets whilst avoiding the risk of vacant properties and increasing bad debts, which could arise if rents are set too high, and would jeopardise the council's achievement of its corporate objectives of Regeneration and Dacorum Delivers. The continuing recession and the difficulties it brings for local businesses increases the likelihood of this risk crystallising.		The following controls aim to performance of the Council's maintaining good communic relevant Council services, an performance against targets ensure that underperforman addressed as quickly as poss controls has led to the 'Inher occuring reducing from a scothe Residual Probability (i.e. implemented) being a 1. Estates officers responsible to hold monthly meetings with current bad debtors. This into of the economic pressures by	s commercial assets by cation links between ad by regularly monitoring (see KPIs CP01 and CP02) to note is identified and sible. The existence of these rent Probability' of this risk ore of 3, which is shown in after controls for negotiating rent reviews the Debtors team to track creases their understanding	The year-end performance figures for 2013/14 demonstrate that occupation rates are above target (98.8& against a target of 95%), and that the level of arrears is also better than target (at 7.32% against a target of 9.5%). The year-end performance figures for 2014/15 demonstrate an occupation rate of 98.3% against a target of 95%. The level of arrears is at 6.5% against a target of 9.5%. Quarter 1 performance for 2015/16 are above target and demonstrate an occupation rate of 98.66 versus a target of 95%. The level of arrears has increased slight		

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 5 of 10

June 2015



how it can impact on council income.

There are currently Corvu performance targets to maintain the number of voids (empty properties) below 5%, and to keep the rent arrears below 10%. Failure to meet either of these targets would prompt further investigation.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

Investment property income is not currently forecast to achieve the ambitious income levels set within the budget. Income levels are being monitored to ensure that high occupation levels are being maintained and that income levels are being maximised. The commercial assets team is working very hard to bring all leases up full commercial levels and to push for a more robust service charge mechanism to maximise potential income. To increase this even more is a challenge given the current economic climate and the current balance of the asset portfolio.

FR_I04 Failure to maintain an effective business continuity plan for all relevant service areas							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Infrastructure	Safe and Clean Environment		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3	4	12	2	4	8		
Likely	Severe	Red	Unlikely	Severe	Amber		
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance			
for individuals, potential loss of business and significant reputational damage si Co		These controls are implemented to ensure that the Council is adequately prepared and able to continue providing key services in the event of an emergency situation. Through this control, the probaility of the Council being unable to respond to such an emergency is reduced.					

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 6 of 10

June 2015



- Annual review process.
- Corporate business continuity process and procedures set out in emergency response toolkit.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

Managers have been asked to attend a business continuity conference in October that will help to inform the service planning exercise.

FR_R01 Council Tax and Business Rates collections rates drop below budget							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
3	2	6	3	2	6		
Likely	Medium	Amber	Likely	Medium	Amber		
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance			
based on the budgeted collection level, if collection falls short this could lead to a cashflow issue within the Council's finances. The fund distribution is balanced after the end of the financial year. Council's finances. The fund distribution is balanced minim for the end of the financial year. Council significantly – this could also impact on future years' council tax base leading to increased budget pressures.		The following controls aim to identify as quickly as possible if the Council is falling behind on its collection rates target for the year. If a problem is identified, the Council is then able to invoke a range of options to minimise the ongoing negative impact on collection. Profiled monthly collection rates are monitored monthly - see KPIs RBF04 and RBF05. Reasons for variances are then investigated in order to address problems quickly as possible.		The full year Council Tax Collection Rate for 2013/14 (Performance Indicator RBF05) was 97.7% against a budget of 97.5%. The full year Business Rates collection rate in 2014/15(Performance Indicator RBF04) was under budget at 98.1% against a target of 99%. Q1 Council Tax collection rates is 30.2% versus a target			

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 7 of 10

June 2015



Financial risk in relation to business rate retention		Q1 Business Rates collection is 30.2% versus a target of
scheme if rates collection falls below government set	Direct debit payment is recommended for all customers	24.8%
baseline.	– a pre-filled instruction is sent to all non-DD payers	
	with their annual bill or a first bill for a new taxpayer.	
	The direct debit method reduce the risk of under-	
	collection because it eliminates the risk of a payer	
	forgetting to make a monthly payment.	
	There is an active programme for taking formal recovery	
	action against non payers.	

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

There is current review of existing processes with regular meeting monitoring performance and an improvement plan is in place to ensure that high standards of collection are maintained and if possible improved. Council tax is within the normal expected range based on previous years and quarters and Business rates collection is significantly ahead of previous years profile.

FR_R02 Delays and errors in the processing of Benefits claims							
Category:	Corporate Priority:		Risk Owner:	Portfolio Holder:	Tolerance:		
Reputational	Dacorum Delivers		David Skinner	Cllr Graeme Elliot	Treating		
Inherent Probability	Inherent Impact	Inherent Risk Score	Residual Probability	Residual Impact	Residual Risk Score		
4	3	12	3	2	6		
Very Likely	High	Red	Likely	Medium	Amber		
Consequences		Current Controls		Assurance			
This risk links to the corporate objective Dacorum		The controls in place aim to mitigate this risk by closely		The successful and continuously improving			
Delivers, focussing on an efficient and effective council.		monitoring performance to assist with effective		management of this risk can be seen in the improved			
		decision-making around resource allocation. This is a		performance of KPI RBF01a - Average Time Taken to			
Customers could suffer personal hardship resulting from		heavily process driven service area and close monitoring		Decide a New Benefit Claim.			

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 8 of 10

June 2015



delays or errors in the processing of claims.

Significant reputational risk associated with high-profile errors.

Staff time spent on addressing unnecessary errors leads to duplication of effort and is an inefficient use of resources.

Government subsidy for housing benefit expenditure is based on external audit certification of the claim made. There is financial risk if errors on cases are identified during their testing.

Communications with claimants needs to be well written Average time taken for processing new claims and and jargon-free in order to reduce the risk of repeat queries which puts pressure on limited staff resources.

also helps to identify bottle necks in the process which need to be improved to optimise performance. By subjecting the process to this regular in-depth scrutiny the Service is able to reduce the probability of the risk crystallising, hence the reduction between the Inherent Risk score (4) and the Residual Risk score (2) after the controls have been taken into account.

Quality checking and individual performance management is in place. These mean that each officer has targets for their personal productivity and accuracy, and information from quality checks is fed back in order to sustain improvement.

changes in circumstances forms part of monthly monitoring.

Processes are in place to expedite cases where the customer is vulnerable or facing eviction. These processes start when a case is identified within benefits, or by customer services, homelessness, housing etc.

Monthly meetings are held between senior officers within Finance & Resources to monitor detailed performance levels at each stage of the claims process.

This enables intermediary targets to be set for discrete elements of the process, which in turn enables the more effective monitoring which has resulted in significantly

Performance for the full year 14/15 was 22.9 days, which was within the target of 23 days for the first time.

This represents an improvement of 4.5 days over the 27.4 days average in 2014/15, and an improvement of 9.9 days against the 12/13 result of 32.8 days.

It should be noted that these improvments have been achieved without additional resource. It has purely been the result of improved process design and increased efficiency.

Average time taken to decide a new claim for Housing benefit is 22.7 days versus a target of 23. This is the first quarter since the same period last year where the target has been met.

Average time taken to decide a change event in Housing Benefit is at 12.3 days versus a target of 13.0.

Page 9 of 10 21/09/2015 10:15AM

June 2015



improved performance over the last 6 months.

Sign Off and Comments

Sign Off Complete

The performance for processing new claims and change events has been erratic in the first quarter. New processes are being embedded and targets are now being met. There is a current improvement plan that is starting to see improved performance and reduced costs. This will be monitored and reviewed to ensure customer service levels are improved and then sustained.

21/09/2015 10:15AM Page 10 of 10