

Dacorum Borough Council Final Internal Audit Report Housing Repairs

December 2015

This report has been prepared on the basis of the limitations set out on page 11. CONFIDENTIAL

Distribution List:

Fiona Williamson – Group Manager (Property and Place)

Elliott Brooks - Assistant Director (Housing)

David Skinner – Assistant Director (Finance and Resources)

Mark Gaynor – Corporate Director (Housing and Regeneration) (Final Report Only)

James Deane – Corporate Director (Finance and Operations) (Final Report Only)

Sally Marshall - Chief Executive (Final Report Only)

Key Dates:

Date of fieldwork:	September/October 2015
Date of draft report:	December 2015
Receipt of responses:	December 2015
Date of final report:	December 2015

This report and the work connected therewith are subject to the Terms and Conditions of the Contract dated 1 April 2015 between Dacorum Borough Council and Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited. This report is confidential and has been prepared for the sole use of Dacorum Borough Council. This report must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we accept no responsibility or liability to any third party who purports to use or rely, for any reason whatsoever, on this report, its contents or conclusions.

Contents

1.	Executive Summary	1
2.	Scope of Assignment	3
3.	Assessment of Control Environment	4
4.	Observations and Recommendations	5
Rec	ommendation 1: Policy and Procedure Documentation (Priority 3)	5
Rec	ommendation 2: Recharges to tenants (Priority 2)	6
	ommendation 3: Quality of information maintained by Osborne Property Services (Priorit	-
Rec	ommendation 4: List of eligible properties provided to contractor (Priority 2)	8
Арр	endix A - Reporting Definitions	9
Арр	endix B - Staff Interviewed1	0
Stat	ement of Responsibility1	1



1. Executive Summary

1.1. Background

As part of the Internal Audit Programme for 2015/16, we have undertaken an audit of the Council's systems of internal control in respect of Housing Repairs.

In July 2014, Dacorum Borough Council entered into a 5 year contract with Osborne Property Services to manage planned and responsive repairs for the Borough's 10,500 homes. The contract is a Total Asset Management model which means the contractor uses repairs data to supplement stock condition information with a view to reducing the number of day to day repairs that are required.

1.2. Audit Objective and Scope

The overall objective of this audit was to provide assurance over the adequacy and effectiveness of current controls over Housing Repairs, and provide guidance on how to improve the current controls going forward.

In summary, the scope covered the following areas: Policies, Procedures and Legislation, Monitoring of Completion of Works, Contract Monitoring and Performance Management, Resident Queries and Complaints, Budget Monitoring and Payments to Contractor.

1.3. Summary Assessment

Our audit of the Council's internal controls operating over Housing Repairs found whilst there is a basically sound system of internal control design, there are weaknesses in design which may place some of the system objectives at risk. There is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.

Our assessment in terms of the design of, and compliance with, the system of internal control covered is set out below:

Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
Substantial	Substantial

Management should be aware that our internal audit work was performed according to UK Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) which are different from audits performed in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board. Similarly, the assurance gradings provided in our internal audit report are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board.

Similarly, the assessment gradings provided in our internal audit report are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board. The classifications of our audit assessments and priority ratings definitions for our recommendations are set out in more detail in Appendix A, whilst further analysis of the control environment over Housing Repairs is shown in Section 3.



1.4. Key Findings

We have raised three Priority 2 recommendations and one Priority 3 recommendation where we believe there is scope for improvement within the control environment. These are set out below:

- Policy and Procedure documentation should be reviewed and updated on a regular basis. (Priority 3).
- Where repairs are the responsibility of the tenant, a process should be in place to recharge the cost of the repairs to the tenant. (Priority 2)
- The council should raise the issue of the quality of information maintained by Osborne Property Services at contract monitoring meetings. (Priority 2).
- The Council should maintain, and provide to the contractor, a list of all eligible properties under the five year rolling plan for two room redecorations. (Priority 2).

Full details of the audit findings and recommendations are shown in Section 4 of the report.

1.5. Management Response

We received the management responses in a timely manner, and these have been included in the main body of the report.

1.6. Acknowledgement

We would like to take this opportunity to thank all staff involved for their time and co-operation during the course of this visit.



2. Scope of Assignment

2.1. Objective

The overall objective of this audit was to provide assurance that the systems of control in respect of Housing Repairs, with regards to the areas set out in section 2.3, are adequate and are being consistently applied.

2.2. Approach and Methodology

The following procedures were adopted to identify and assess risks and controls and thus enable us to recommend control improvements:

- Discussions with key members of staff to ascertain the nature of the systems in operation;
- Evaluation of the current systems of internal control through walk-through and other non- statistical sample testing;
- Identification of control weaknesses and potential process improvement opportunities;
- Discussion of our findings with management and further development of our recommendations; and
- Preparation and agreement of a draft report with the process owner.

2.3. Areas Covered

The audit was carried out to evaluate and test controls over the following areas:

Policies, Procedures and Legislation

There is a signed contract between Dacorum Borough Council and Osborne Property Services, and the staff involved in the management of the contract have access to it and are aware of its content. Policies and procedures are available to the housing staff which are reviewed on an annual basis.

Monitoring of Completion of Works

Works are appropriately categorised as Day to Day repairs, small works or capital works. Works are efficiently and timely completed to the required standards. Failure to complete work on time and any poor quality work is identified and addressed. Void repairs are monitored to ensure turnaround times are as short as possible in order to maximise income. Recharges to tenants are only made where appropriate.

Contract Monitoring and Performance Management

The contractor is working to the agreed standards and specification defined within the contract. Corrective action is taken to when the performance falls below the set standard. There are metrics in place to measure the contractor's performance against, and these are regularly monitored by the council. Management information is completely, accurately, validly and timely produced to allow for effective monitoring and decision making as part of the identifying, monitoring and paying for housing repairs in line with management and contractual requirements.

Resident Queries and Complaints

All queries or complaints from residents are dealt with promptly and effectively. Any areas for improvement arising from complaints are identified and addressed. The council regularly monitors the complaints log maintained by the contractor.

Budget Monitoring and Payments to Contractor

Annual budget is set appropriately and the housing repairs programme is set to reflect the budget and monitored regularly. Stock condition survey data feeds into the annual programme. Monthly applications are checked on a sample basis (prior to receipt of invoices). Invoices are checked and authorised prior to payment and are in line with contract terms and conditions.



3. Assessment of Control Environment

The following table sets out in summary the control objectives we have covered as part of this audit, our assessment of risk based on the adequacy of controls in place, the effectiveness of the controls tested and any resultant recommendations.

The classifications of our assessment of risk for the design and operation of controls are set out in more detail in Appendix A.

Control Objectives Assessed	Design of Controls	Operation of Controls	Recommendations Raised
Policies Procedures and Legislation	0	8	Recommendations 1 and 2
Monitoring of Completion of Works	0	8	Recommendations 3 and 4
Contract Monitoring and Performance Management	\bigcirc	\bigotimes	
Resident Queries and Complaints	\bigotimes	\bigotimes	
Budget Monitoring and Payments to Contractors	\bigotimes	\bigotimes	

The classifications of our assessment of risk for the design and operation of controls are set out in more detail in Appendix A.



4. Observations and Recommendations

Recommendation 1: Policy and Procedure Documentation (Priority 3)

Recommendation

Policy and Procedure documentation should be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.

Observation

Having up to date and in depth procedure notes will help to ensure that individuals understand their responsibilities with respect to the Housing Repairs function. It also helps to provide a consistent approach in the activities undertaken.

From the six policies and procedures relating to the Housing Repairs function, we found that three of them (Responsive Repairs, Rechargeable Works Policy and Cyclical Maintenance) had not been recently reviewed.

Where policies and procedures are not updated regularly, there is a risk that processes within the Housing Repairs function will not be carried out effectively or efficiently.

Responsibility

Group Manager – Property and Place

Management response / deadline

The Housing Service is currently reviewing the approach towards the management and review of policies. Working with the Corporate Performance and Projects Team. A new intranet based process map template is being developed with hyperlinks to the relevant policies and procedures.

The Property and Place Policies and procedures will all be reviewed and amended by the end of March 2016 and can be included in the programme for the development of individual process maps, as each is concluded.



Recommendation 2: Recharges to tenants (Priority 2)

Recommendation

Where repairs are the responsibility of the tenant, a process should be in place to recharge the cost of the repairs to the tenant.

Observation

The Council's 'rechargeable works policy' informs tenants which repairs to properties should be considered responsibilities of the Council, and which are deemed to be the responsibility of tenants. It is also said that in case of works performed and paid by the Council but under the responsibility of the tenant, the Council has the right to claim back the amount spent.

Our testing found that currently, no records of the costs that could be claimed back from the tenant are being kept, and no recharges are being made.

Where records relating to possible recharges are not being kept, there is a risk that income due to the Council is not being recovered.

Responsibility

Group Manager – Tenants and Leaseholders

Management response / deadline

A growth bid has been submitted for consideration by Cabinet to enable a full time recharges officer to be appointed to manage the recharges process. The benefits are both in terms of the collection of rechargeable work costs but also the behavioural change of tenants who will be made aware that recharges will be robustly pursued

Once this has been approved the recruitment can commence so that an officer is in post for the beginning of the next financial year.

There are existing procedures that have been developed historically that are still fit for purpose and these will be adopted by the Recharges Officer to ensure there is an audit trail and that, where viable, rechargeable costs are recovered.

Recommendation 3: Quality of information maintained by Osborne Property Services (Priority 2)

Recommendation

The council should raise the issue of the quality of information maintained by Osborne Property Services at contract monitoring meetings.

Observation

By monitoring the quality of data provided, the council will be able to rely on the information provided by the contractor to support effective management of the contract.

From the source documentation used to select our sample for the Two Room Redecorations works, we found that in four out of ten cases, the date input as the handover to the council following completion of works was prior to the date input as the start date of the job.

Where the source documentation contains errors, and the quality of the data is not checked, there is a risk that the information being fed into the performance management targets is inaccurate, leading to incorrect management decisions being taken.

Responsibility

Team Leader – Programme and Procurement

Management response / deadline

The issue has been raised with the Contracts Manager of Osborne, who are currently undertaking a data quality review in preparation for all historic data to be interfaced into the Council's Housing Management System, Orchard.

The two room redecoration spreadsheet will be reviewed and appropriate amendments made prior to the information being interfaced.

The importance of data quality will be reviewed at the Operational Meetings and the IT follow up meeting scheduled for January 15th 2016.



Recommendation 4: List of eligible properties provided to contractor (Priority 2)

Recommendation

The Council should maintain, and provide to the contractor, a list of all eligible properties under the five year rolling plan for two room redecorations. This would reduce the amount of works completed that are subsequently voided as a result of this.

Observation

Providing the contractor with a report of only eligible properties under the Two Room Redecoration scheme would maximise the efficiency in redecorating properties in need of this service.

Our testing found that currently, it is the contractor's responsibility to determine eligibility from the list of all properties classed as suitable for elderly persons. From our sample of ten redecorations carried out since April 2015, we found that four properties had previously received a full redecoration within the last five years. Of these four, one property had been fully redecorated in 2014.

Where the Council does not provide a list of eligible properties to the contractor, there is a risk that properties in need of redecoration are being missed. There is also a risk that the Council does not identify these properties on receipt of the monthly invoice, therefore resulting in loss of income.

Responsibility

Team Leader – Programme and Procurement

Management response / deadline

The Database and Performance officer was appointed in October and is currently ensuring all historic data is input into the Council Asset Management Database. The database will be interrogated to produce a report of the sheltered properties that require 2 room redecoration.

The Contracts Manager at Osborne is implementing a process to ensure that all properties are checked against any void work schedules to prevent redecorations being undertaken within 5 years of any void redecoration work.

It is proposed to get programmes developed in February, prior to the commencement of the programmes in which start in April and this information will be uploaded into the Housing Management System, Orchard so that it can be made visible to the tenants using the customer service portal.



Appendix A - Reporting Definitions

Audit assessment

In order to provide management with an assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of their systems of internal control, the following definitions are used:

Level	Symbol	Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
Full	\bigcirc	There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the system objectives.	The controls are being consistently applied.
Substantial	8	Whilst there is a basically sound system of internal control design, there are weaknesses in design which may place some of the system objectives at risk.	There is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.
Limited	?	Weaknesses in the system of internal control design are such as to put the system objectives at risk.	The level of non-compliance puts the system objectives at risk.
Nil	\otimes	Control is generally weak leaving the system open to significant error or abuse.	Significant non-compliance with basic controls leaves the system open to error or abuse.

The assessment gratings provided here are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board and as such the grading of 'Full' does not imply that there are no risks to the stated control objectives.

Grading of recommendations

In order to assist management in using our reports, we categorise our recommendations according to their level of priority as follows:

Level	Definition
Priority 1	Recommendations which are fundamental to the system and upon which the organisation should take immediate action.
Priority 2	Recommendations which, although not fundamental to the system, provide scope for improvements to be made.
Priority 3	Recommendations concerning issues which are considered to be of a minor nature, but which nevertheless need to be addressed.
System Improvement Opportunity	Issues concerning potential opportunities for management to improve the operational efficiency and/or effectiveness of the system.



Appendix B - Staff Interviewed

The following personnel were consulted:

•	Fiona Williamson	Group Manager (Property and Place)
•	Wimal Alahakon	Commercial Relationship Surveyor (Property and Place)
•	Yaqubul Islam	Directorate Accountant (Housing and Regeneration)
•	Lesley Jugoo	Empty Homes Lead Officer (Strategic Housing)
•	Katie Kiely	Tenant Services Manager
•	Sarah Delaney	Performance and Administration Manager (OPS)
•	Alan Perrett	Contracts Manager (OPS)
•	Adrian Hoole	Contracts Team Leader

We would like to thank the staff involved for their co-operation during the audit.



Statement of Responsibility

We take responsibility for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by us should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof Our procedures are designed to focus on areas as identified by against collusive fraud. management as being of greatest risk and significance and as such we rely on management to provide us full access to their accounting records and transactions for the purposes of our work and to ensure the authenticity of such material. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited

London

December 2015

This document is confidential and prepared solely for your information. Therefore you should not, without our prior written consent, refer to or use our name or this document for any other purpose, disclose them or refer to them in any prospectus or other document, or make them available or communicate them to any other party. No other party is entitled to rely on our document for any purpose whatsoever and thus we accept no liability to any other party who is shown or gains access to this document.

In this document references to Mazars are references to Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Mazars LLP. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.

