

DACORUM BOROUGH COUNCIL

INTERNAL AUDIT PROGRESS REPORT

Audit Committee – July 30th 2014

This report and the work connected therewith are subject to the Terms and Conditions of the contract dated December 2013 between Dacorum Borough Council and Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited. The report is produced solely for the use of Dacorum Borough Council. Its contents should not be quoted or referred to in whole or in part without our prior written consent except as required by law. Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited will accept no responsibility to any third party, as the report has not been prepared, and is not intended for any other purpose.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Background	1
Progress to Date	1
Follow-up of Recommendations	2
Priority 1 Recommendations	4
Appendix 1 – Status of Audit Work 2014/15	5
Appendix 2 - Summary of Final Reports	10
Appendix 3 – Follow-up of Recommendations 2011/12	
Appendix 4 – Follow-up of Recommendations 2013/14	
Appendix 5 - Statement of Responsibility	

Introduction

This progress report to the Audit Committee covers the work carried out during the period June 17th 2014 to July 21st 2014 by Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Appendix 1 outlines progress to date against the 2014/15 Internal Audit Plan.

Background

The purpose of the internal audit plan is to identify the work required to achieve a reasonable level of assurance to be provided by Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited in compliance with the Code of Practice for Internal Audit.

The fundamental role of Internal Audit is to provide senior management and Members with independent assurance on the adequacy, effectiveness and efficiency of the system of internal control, and to report major weaknesses together with recommendations for improvement. This role is fulfilled by carrying out appropriate audit work, normally in accordance with a strategic plan and an annual operational plan, as approved by the Director of Finance and the Audit Committee.

As internal audit is a major source of assurance that the Council is effectively managing the principal risks to the achievement of its corporate objectives, a key rationale for the development of the internal audit plan was the Council's own Corporate and Service Risk Registers and how the internal audit plan can provide this assurance.

Progress to Date

Audit fieldwork on the 2014/15 Internal Audit Plan is progressing well and audit coverage has been in line with the Plan, as shown in Appendix 1.

We have issued the following Final reports:

- Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) (Evaluation assurance: Full. Testing assurance: Substantial);
- Performance Management (Evaluation assurance: Full. Testing assurance: Substantial);

We have issued the following Draft report:

Trees and Woodlands (Evaluation assurance: Substantial. Testing assurance: Limited)

Field work has commenced on the audit looking at Asset Management and the Customer Service Centre. Our work has continued in relation to the Audit project looking at the Council's approach to Risk Management. The aim of this project is to build on the current foundations and help develop and implement the best practice approach to risk management in the council.

Follow-up of Recommendations

2011/12

It was noted that there is one recommendation still outstanding in relation to 2011/12. This related to Disaster Recovery Testing and was a Priority 2. Disaster Recovery testing should be in place to test all elements of the system recovery as set out in the agreement with ICM. It was also recommended that when tests have been carried out there is evidence to show that the results are reported to senior management and that any subsequent recommendations are implemented.

Appendix 3 provides a summary of the status of all 2011/12 recommendations where the proposed implementation date was at or before 31st March 2014. A management response regarding the outstanding recommendation is also detailed at Appendix 3.

All recommendations for 2012/13 have been cleared.

2013/14

The table below details the recommendations raised in the audit reports for 2013/14. It should be noted that progress in implementing recommendations raised in the 2013/14 audit plan has been followed up, as part of the 2014/15 audit plan and summary of the outcome is shown in the table below:

Year	Total Recommendations	Implemented	%	Implemented or partly implemented	%
2013/14	28	20	71%	24	86%
Total	28	20	71%	24	86%

Appendix 4 provides a summary of the status of all 2013/14 recommendations where the proposed implementation date was at or before 31st March 2014.

Definition of Assurance & Priorities

Audit assessment

In order to provide management with an assessment of the adequacy and effectiveness of their systems of internal control, the following definitions are used:

Level	Symbol	Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
Full	F	There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the system objectives.	The controls are being consistently applied.
Substantial	s	Whilst there is a basically sound system of internal control design, there are weaknesses in design which may place some of the system objectives at risk.	There is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the controls may put some of the system objectives at risk.
Limited	L	Weaknesses in the system of internal control design are such as to put the system objectives at risk.	The level of non-compliance puts the system objectives at risk.
Nil	N	Control is generally weak leaving the system open to significant error or abuse.	Significant non-compliance with basic controls leaves the system open to error or abuse.

The assessment gradings provided here are not comparable with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements (ISAE 3000) issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board and as such the grading of 'Full' does not imply that there are no risks to the stated control objectives.

Grading of recommendations

In order to assist management in using our reports, we categorise our recommendations according to their level of priority as follows:

Level	Definition
Priority 1	Recommendations which are fundamental to the system and upon which the organisation should take immediate action.
Priority 2	Recommendations which, although not fundamental to the system, provide scope for improvements to be made.
Priority 3	Recommendations concerning issues which are considered to be of a minor nature, but which nevertheless need to be addressed.
System Improvement Opportunity	Issues concerning potential opportunities for management to improve the operational efficiency and/or effectiveness of the system.

Priority 1 Recommendations

We have not raised any Priority 1 recommendations as a consequence of the final reports issued since the last Audit Committee meeting.

Appendix 1 – Status of Audit Work 2014/15

			Disc		Ctout of		Opir	nion	Reco	ommendati	ons	
	Area	Scope	Plan Days	Days	Start of Fieldwork	Status			,	_		Comments
		0		Delivered			Evaluation	Testing	1	2	3	
	Main Accounting	Covering adequacy and effectiveness of controls including access control, journals, cost centre management, virements, year-end accounting and system interfaces. CRSA to be applied.	7		Q4							
	Budgetary Control	To cover the strategic approach to co-ordinating budgetary control, monitoring and reporting, and also testing a sample of departments	8		Q2							
Core Financial Systems	Accounts Receivable	Analysing the management of the Accounts Receivable system by considering invoicing and credit notes, creation and amendment of debtor accounts, debt recovery, write-off and management reporting. CRSA to be applied.	7		Q3							
	Accounts Payable	Evaluating the payment of creditor invoices, creation and amendment of supplier accounts, payments, security of cheques, and management of high value and urgent expenditure. CRSA to be applied.	7		Q3							

	Treasury Management, Cash & Bank	To review the controls over cash flow forecasting, investments, loans, compliance with the Prudential Code and generation of management information. CRSA to be applied.	7		Q3				
	Housing Repairs	Covering the adequacy and effectiveness of controls over the management of planned and responsive repairs. Will include post inspections and complaints.	10		Q4				
Core Financia	l Systems Total		46	0					
	Procurement	Covering the adequacy and effectiveness of the Council's approach to corporate procurement. Will look at general contracts and performance against Pl's.	12		Q4				
	Partnership Arrangements	Review arrangements in place for joint strategic needs assessments and changes in commissioning services.	10	1	Q3				
Operational Risks	Customer Services	Focus on areas such as effectiveness of customer service centre, customer feedback and complaints, and the telephony project.	10	1	Q2				
	Capital Projects	To review the Council's project management of significant capital developments that are underway (sale of civic centre, public sector quarter) and the links to the current strategic view of land assets. To review will also look at the whole approach that the council takes for project management, with a focus on particular projects.	10		Q4				

	Efficiency Savings	Review of the progress in place for ensuring that savings are being made, e.g. identification and project management savings, budgetary control.	10		Q4						
Operational Ri	isks Total		52	2							
	Regeneration	Project management assurance in respect of regeneration schemes.	10		Q2						
	Asset Management	Assurance over the design and application of controls relating to the areas such as asset management strategy, capital accounting, partnership arrangements with voluntary sector / local community groups. Etc.	10	6	Q2	WIP					
Strategic Risks	Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	Covering adequacy and effectiveness of controls over the introduction of the levy, including its collection and accounting processes.	7	7	Q1	Final	Full	Substantial	2	2	
	Performance Management	Covering adequacy and effectiveness of controls over the Council's strategic approach to performance management (including management information, target setting and roles and responsibilities (following the re-structuring of the council.	10	10	Q1	Final	Full	Substantial	2		
	Emergency Planning	Covering adequacy and effectiveness of key controls over emergency planning and readiness.	8		Q2						
Strategic Risk	s Total		45	16							
Governance, Fraud & other Assurance Methods	Corporate Governance	Evaluating the adequacy and effectiveness of corporate governance arrangements in accordance with the CIPFA code of practice.	5		Q4						

	Counter Fraud	Internal Audit will continue to work with the council in the development of a fraud risk register, the provision of fraud awareness training, proactive fraud exercises etc.	15		All				
	Control Risk Self Assessment	The use of CRSA to provide assurance that managers understand their requirements and take ownership of their responsibilities. To be utilised on a number of the core financials and will be issued prior to fieldwork and used	7		Q3 & 4				
	Continuous Auditing	Data analysis scripts will be written on which both identify anomalies as well as comparing the number of anomalies occurring on a period by period basis.	7		Q4				
Governance, F	Fraud & other Assurance Methods		34	0					
		The specific scope will be agreed with management but would look to provide assurance over the information governance, customer data security and adherence to Caldicott.	20	0	Q4				
Governance, F	Data Security & PCI DSS ICT Development - new systems	agreed with management but would look to provide assurance over the information governance, customer data security and		0	Q4 Q4				
	Data Security & PCI DSS	agreed with management but would look to provide assurance over the information governance, customer data security and adherence to Caldicott. The specific scope will be agreed with management but would look to provide assurance over pre and post implementation reviews and focus on	20	0					
ІСТ	Data Security & PCI DSS	agreed with management but would look to provide assurance over the information governance, customer data security and adherence to Caldicott. The specific scope will be agreed with management but would look to provide assurance over pre and post implementation reviews and focus on	20	1					

	Ad Hoc	Contingency allocation to be utilised upon agreement of the Assistant Director (Finance & Resources).	20						
	Ad Hoc – Risk Management	Focusing on the Council's approach to Risk management	10	2	Ongoing				
	Ad Hoc – Trees and Woodlands	Covering the adequacy and effectiveness of controls over Policies, Procedures and Legislation; Contract Arrangements; Ordering of work; Completion of Work; Payment of Contractor Invoices; Budget Monitoring; Performance Management and Performance Benchmarking.	10	9.5	Q1				
Ad Hoc total			75	14.5					
TOTAL			287	31.5					

Appendix 2 - Summary of Final Reports

Brief outlines of the work carried out, a summary of our key findings raised and the assurance opinions given in respect of the final reports issued since the last meeting of the Audit Committee in December are provided in this section.

Performance Management (2014/15)

The overall objective of this audit was to provide assurance over the adequacy and effectiveness of current controls over Performance Management, and provide guidance on how to improve controls going forward if required.

In summary, the scope covered the following areas: Reporting on Performance; Governance; Performance Standards; and Performance Review.

Our assessment in terms of the design of, and compliance with, the system of internal control covered is set out below:

Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
Full	Substantial

We have raised two priority 2 recommendations where we believe there is scope for improvement within the control environment. These are set out below:

- All Performance Indicators should be completed by the designated officer and should then be signed off as being complete and accurate by the Approving officer before being presented to Committee, with any appropriate comments documented. (Priority 2).
- Performance Indicators included in the Council's Performance Management framework should be reviewed on an annual basis. Any changes in the target and the basis of calculating the PI should be recorded on the Data Quality and Target Control sheet. (Priority 2).

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) (2014/15)

The overall objective of this audit was to provide assurance over the adequacy and effectiveness of current controls over Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), and provide guidance on how to improve current the controls going forward.

In summary, the scope covered the following areas: Policy, Procedures and Legislation; Formal adoption of CIL, Project Governance; Infrastructure list; Infrastructure Tariff; Application of the Levy; Receipt of Payment; and Monitoring and Reporting

Our assessment in terms of the design of, and compliance with, the system of internal control covered is set out below:

Evaluation Assessment	Testing Assessment
Full	Substantial

We have raised two priority 2 recommendations and two priority 3 recommendations where we believe there is scope for improvement within the control environment. These are set out below:

- All employee training and development needs in relation to CIL should be documented within a training plan. (Priority 3).
- The meetings held regarding the implementation of CIL should be documented and recorded into formal meeting minutes or action notes. (Priority 3).
- The risk register should be reviewed on a regular basis and reported to Management (Priority 2).
- All documentation including the Project Initiation Document, policies, time tables and the section
 of the website in relation to CIL should be kept up to date to reflect the revised deadlines. (Priority
 2).

Appendix 3 – Follow-up of Recommendations 2011/12

A follow-up audit has been undertaken in accordance with the 2013/14 audit plan. The objective was to confirm the extent to which the recommendations made in 2011/12 internal audit final reports have been implemented. It was confirmed that there is still just one recommendation outstanding.

The tables below provide a summary of the status of all outstanding 2011/12 recommendations where the proposed implementation date was at or before 21st July 2014 and had not been reported as implemented at the previous Audit Committee meeting.

Title	Raised	Implem ented	Partly Implem ented	Outstan ding	No longer relevant	Original Due Date	Revised Due Date	Resp. Officer
2011/12								
IT Disaster Recovery	8	3	4	1		31/03/12	31/07/13	LJ
IT Security	24	21	3			30/06/12	30/08/13	JW
Orchard Housing Application	6	4	1		1	31/10/12	01/04/14	AP

Recommendation: Disaster Recovery Testing is carried out and the provision of evidence to confirm that testing has taken place. The recommendation was a priority 2 recommendation.

Response: A response has been received from Ben Trueman regarding the status of this outstanding Recommendation, which is as follows:

The recommendation remains outstanding and is likely to do so for some time yet. Lon produced a very ambitious recovery plan which he handed to Paula Busby (Herts Resilience Officer) to include in the Corporate BCP. Some project based work remained to be completed in order to make that recovery plan real. With most of that work now completed we have taken the opportunity to look more closely at what was stated and how we might test it and put it into effect. It has become clear that the timescales for system recovery stated are not achievable. I have advised Paula Busby that this is the case and together with the Team Leader for ICT Operations (Dave Chamberlain) will be working on producing some more realistic timescales later in the Summer. (Before the timescales can be established, however, we need to undertake an upgrade to our storage administration system.)

The importance of the work is clear, although it competes as ever with other major projects and initiatives and realistically I do not expect us to be in a position to conduct a DR test this year but plan to do so next.

Appendix 4 – Follow-up of Recommendations 2013/14

A follow-up audit has been undertaken in accordance with the 2014/15 audit plan. The objective was to confirm the extent to which the recommendations made in 2013/14 internal audit final reports have been implemented.

The tables below provide a summary of the status of all outstanding 2013/14 recommendations where the proposed implementation date was at or before 21st July 2014 and had not been reported as implemented at the previous Audit Committee meeting

Title	Raised	Implem ented	Partly Impleme nted	Outstan ding	No longer relevant	Original Due Date	Revised Due Date	No Respons e	Not yet due	Resp. Officer
2013/14										
Accounts Payable	3	1	2							RB
Accounts Receivable	1	1								RB
Council Tax	3	3								СВ
HB and CTX	3	3								СВ
Housing Rents	2	2								KK
Payroll and Pensions	2	1	1			28/02/14	31/07/14			GB
HR	2								2	AS & BH
Corporate Governance	1	1								JD
Business Rates	3	1							2	AE
Main Accounting	1	1								RB
Contract Management	3	3								ВН
Planning	4	3	1							JA
Total	28	20	4						4	

Appendix 5 - Statement of Responsibility

We take responsibility for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices. We emphasise that the responsibility for a sound system of internal controls and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management and work performed by us should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify all circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud. Our procedures are designed to focus on areas as identified by management as being of greatest risk and significance and as such we rely on management to provide us full access to their accounting records and transactions for the purposes of our work and to ensure the authenticity of such material. Effective and timely implementation of our recommendations by management is important for the maintenance of a reliable internal control system.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited

London

July 2014

This document is confidential and prepared solely for your information. Therefore you should not, without our prior written consent, refer to or use our name or this document for any other purpose, disclose them or refer to them in any prospectus or other document, or make them available or communicate them to any other party. No other party is entitled to rely on our document for any purpose whatsoever and thus we accept no liability to any other party who is shown or gains access to this document.

In this document references to Mazars are references to Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 4585162.

Mazars Public Sector Internal Audit Limited is a subsidiary of Mazars LLP. Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.

