6. APPEALS

A. <u>LODGED</u>

| | • | |
|-------|----------------|--|
| (i) | 4/01571/12/ENA | Mr McLaughlin Appeal against Enforcement Notice – construction of 2 dwellings 11 Bank Mill, Berkhamsted |
| | | Delegated |
| (ii) | 4/01829/12/FUL | Mr Cowman and Mr McLaughlin Construction of 2 No. 3-bed dwellings 11 Bank Mill, Berkhamsted |
| | • | Committee |
| (iii) | 4/01555/12/FUL | Mr and Mrs Ingman Dwellinghouse 328 High Street, Berkhamsted |
| | | Committee |
| (iv) | 4/00538/12/FUL | Mr Mark Tully Change of Use from garage/workshop to dwelling Land at 59 Cowper Road, Hemel Hempstead |
| · | | Delegated |
| (v) | 4/00211/13/ENA | Mrs Louise Atkins Appeal against Enforcement Notice - Material change of use of land from grazing land to residential Lodge Farm Cottage, Rossway, Berkhamsted |
| | | Delegated |
| (vi) | 4/00371/13/LDP | Mr Anastasiou Certificate of Lawful development for single storey rear extension High Clere, Tower Hill, Chipperfield |
| | | Delegated |

| (vii) | 4/00696/10/ENA | Mr and Mrs Clarke, Mr Parry and Mr McGregor Appeal against Enforcement Notice – Construction of extensions without permission Properties at Threefields, Sheethanger Lane, Felden |
|--------|-----------------|--|
| | | Delegated |
| (viii) | 4/00014/13/FHA | Mr William Jenkins Replacement front door 10 Shrublands Avenue, Berkhamsted |
| | | Delegated |
| (ix) | 4/00146/13/FUL | Mr S Wright-Browne Replacement dwelling Site at Ivycote, St Albans Hill, Hemel Hempstead |
| | | Committee |
| (x) | 4/000171/13/FUL | Mr & Mrs Gill Detached dwelling and garage R/o 21 Pancake Lane, Hemel Hempstead |
| | | Delegated |
| (xi) | 4/00256/13/ROC | Chipperfield Land Co. Variation to conditions 15 and 16 The Pines, North Road, Berkhamsted |
| | | Committee |
| (xii) | 4/02223/12/FHA | Mr G Hosking Single storey rear extension and other works Oak Bank, Bell Lane, Berkhamsted |
| | | Committee |
| (xiii) | 4/00415/13/FHA | Khalid Ahmed Two storey side extension 162, High St, Northchurch |
| | | Delegated |
| | | |

| (xiv) | 4/01749/12/FHA | Clare Lawrence Parking bay 14 Kingsland Road, Hemel Hempstead |
|--------|-----------------------|--|
| | · | Delegated |
| (xv) | 4/00522/13/FHA | L Stedman Two storey rear extension and front bay window Stockley, Love Lane, Kings Langley |
| | | Delegated |
| (xvi) | 4/00224/12/FUL | Chipperfield Land Co Demolition of garage, swimming pool and extension. Refurbishment of existing dwelling to form two dwellings and construction of 4 new dwellings. The Pines, North Road, Berkhamsted |
| | • | Committee |
| (xvii) | 4/00147/13/ENA | Mr S Rasa & Mr S Rasa Two storey rear extension 54 Aycliffe Drive, Hemel Hempstead |
| | | Delegated |
| В | WITHDRAWN | |
| None | | |
| С | FORTHCOMING INQUIRIES | |
| (i) | 4/00696/10/ENA | Mr and Mrs Clarke, Mr Parry and Mr McGregor Appeal against Enforcement Notice – Construction of extensions without permission Properties at Threefields, Sheethanger Lane, Felden |
| , | | Delegated |
| • | | 19 November 2013 in the Bulbourne Room |
| | | |

FORTHCOMING HEARINGS

None

E DISMISSED

(i) 4/02338/11/MFA

Berkhamsted School

Astroturf

Kitchener's Field, Castle Hill, Berkhamsted

Committee

Non-floodlit, all weather hockey pitch and athletics track, perimeter fence and soft landscaping on existing playing field (amended scheme)

Kitcheners Field, Castle Hill, Berkhamsted HP4 1HE

Committee

The Inspector identified two main issues:

- 1) The effect of the proposal on openness of the Green Belt and on the character and appearance of the area, which lies within the Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), and
- 2) Whether the material considerations in this case are sufficient to clearly outweigh the harm through inappropriateness, and any other harm, so as to justify the development.

Note: The Inspector concluded that the issues are 'finely balanced' clarifying that there are strong misgivings about whether there are viable alternative, less environmentally sensitive sites available to the appellants. The School's failure to refute this suggestion weighed against the proposal's benefits.

The Green Belt's Openness/ Effect upon the AONB.

The proposal constitutes inappropriate development in the Green Belt and the harm through inappropriateness is considerable. There will significant harm through loss of openness.

The fencing would appear as a significant structure and an interruption to views across the field and those neighbouring to the north extending built development further north into a part of the valley which is otherwise devoid of buildings, apart from a farm to the north. The proposal would be seen as a skyline feature when viewed from the south.

The artificial surfacing and the levelling of the north-east corner of the site would not affect openness to any significant degree.

The NPPF expects LPAs to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt including opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation. The proposal would comply with this objective.

Effect upon the AONB

DBLP Policy 97 (AONBs) ensures the AONB's conservation is the prime planning consideration. Intrusive fencing is not acceptable. There would be conflict with DBLP

Policy 97, Policy CS36 and with the NPPF's more recent advice.

The site forms part of an attractive landscape, where there is a mix of farmland and woodland in rolling countryside. The sports facilities at Kitcheners Fields mark a transitional zone between the town and the countryside. At present, the application site appears as well-tended grassed sports fields, which, although 'manmade', nevertheless fit in well with the adjacent farmland, gently merging with the open countryside.

In contrast, the proposed fencing, which would have a greater built presence and would be more akin to an urban or suburban development. The pitch's all-weather surface would stand out as being artificial, and it would be readily distinguishable from the undeveloped playing fields. The levelling and the cutting into the hill slope would also add to the site's artificial appearance.

In combination the fencing and levelling would result in serious harm to the AONB's beauty.

Other Considerations:

Need for the Facility

The school does not have and needs an all-weather hockey pitch. Hockey is a winter.

The Active Hertfordshire Sports Facility Strategy 2007-2016 identifies a need for additional hockey pitches in the county and for artificial turf pitches in schools. The provision of a new hockey facility has benefits to the pupils and local clubs and others who might use it. The proposal accords with the NPPF's promoting healthy communities.

The proposal has Sport England's support, subject to it being available for use by the community to which the Inspector placed considerable weight.

Alternative Locations.

The Inspector PINs noted that the School confirmed that there are no other locations on its premises within the town which could accommodate the pitch, without an adverse effect on the provision of other sports.

Traffic Implications: Traffic Generation & Parking.

There is insufficient evidence to show that there would be significant increases in the volume of traffic using the site.

(ii) 4/02160/12/FUL

Mr Mark Smith
3 Bedroom detached dwelling
R/O 32 Ashlyns Road, Berkhamsted

Delegated

The Inspector considered that the loss of trees required by development on the wholly tree- and shrub-covered site would materially detract from of established character of the area. The house, to be built on raised ground, would be of poor design and would not provide the high quality required by the NPPF. The rear elevation would appear monolithic and would materially affect residents' living conditions. The garden would be of insufficient size for a three/four bed dwelling and would provide a poor quality area of amenity space which would not be functional.

The steep, single-track drive would provide the only access to parking for the dwelling. Access for service vehicles was considered inadequate and the Inspector concluded that the proposal would result in danger to pedestrians and vehicles.

He also opined that the proposal failed to make required provision to mitigate the impacts of development on infrastructure, services and facilities as there was no S106 unilateral undertaking.

F ALLOWED

None