

Table 2b: Main Issues raised – Proposals Map (Omissions Consultation)

Notes: Nature of the Issue

m	Issue raised in the Main Consultation
	Issue raised previously
	New Issue
S	Significant New Issue

Nature of the Amendment

MC	Minor Change (excluding editorial changes here)	See Table 3
SC	Significant Change	None recommended

New issue - i.e. it has not been raised in any comparable form before.

Significant issue: i.e. one which substantively challenges the direction, meaning or intention of a policy or proposal in the Core Strategy.

Significant change: i.e. a substantive change to the direction, intention or meaning of a policy in the Core Strategy: the clarification, correction or postponing of the detail of policy to a later stage have not been construed as being significant changes.

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Objections	Issue	Nature of the Issue	Response	Amendment Reference
<i>Proposals Map</i>		The omission of changes delineating the future extent of the local allocations (and Green Belt boundaries).	m	No change. The boundaries of the local allocations will be determined through the Site Allocations DPD and necessary changes to the Proposals Map made at that time.	
East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan		The need for a clear eastern boundary for the AAP.	m	No change. The Core Strategy can only define boundaries within Dacorum's administrative area. Figure 22 shows an indicative boundary on the eastern edge. The text makes clear that the boundary of the AAP within St Albans has yet to be defined and will be subject to consideration through that Council's Core Strategy. Also see responses to main consultation, paragraph 20.17 and Figure 20.	
		The need for the Crown Estate, as major landowners within the wider area, to be involved in future joint working.		No change to the map. However the Crown Estate will be a key consultee on future work relating to the AAP.	
Hemel Hempstead town centre		The need to recognise areas of wildlife interest and amenity value at Paradise Fields within the boundary.	S	Agree. The presence of key wildlife areas should be reflected in any redevelopment proposals for this area. Suitable reference is proposed to Figures 17 and 20.	MC MC
		Does the inclusion of the Hospital Zone undermine the aim to focus retail and town centre development/regeneration in the Old Town, Gade and Marlowes Zones?		No change. The change to the town centre boundary on the Proposals Map is an important part of the regeneration strategy for the area. It is consistent with the framework set out in the Hemel Hempstead Place Strategy: the extension of the town centre to incorporate the area adjacent to the hospital is illustrated in Figure 21. Figure 17 makes clear that not all land within the town centre is intended to be used for retail uses. The focus for future regeneration opportunities in the hospital zone are predominantly residential, education, health and business uses (with open space).	
		Exclude the Hospital Zone and Paradise Fields from the town centre.	S	No change. The amended boundary is shown in Figure 21 of the Pre-Submission Core Strategy. Its expansion is a key component of the emerging town centre master plan and inclusion of the additional area is intended to support rather	

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Objections	Issue	Nature of the Issue	Response	Amendment Reference
				than undermine regeneration aspirations for the wider town centre area.	
Conservation Areas	-				
Bovingdon	-				
Chipperfield	-				
Frithsden		The need for further extensions to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the valley bottom field - Frithsden Gardens - the ice house. 		No change. The Conservation Area has recently been subject to detailed review. Potential extensions to include these new areas were considered as part of this review, but excluded for the reasons set out in the Consultation Report that accompanies the Conservation Area Appraisal.	
Great Gaddesden	-				
Nettleden	-				

DRAFT