

Table 1b: Results – the Number of Representations (Omissions Consultation)

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations								
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections					commenting
				saying the core strategy is					
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>		
Proposals Map	1		1	1	1	1	1		
Map 1 East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	4	3	1		1				
Map 2 Hemel Hempstead town centre Conservation Areas	2		2	1	1	1	1		
Map 3 Bovingdon	1	1							
Map 4 Chipperfield	2	2							
Map 5 Frithsden	1		1			1			
Map 6 Great Gaddesden	1	1							
Map 7 Nettleden	1	1							
Total	13	8	5	2	3	3	2	-	

The sum of the objections (columns 5 – 9) in each row does not necessarily equal the total objecting in column 4. An objector may give more than one reason for their objection.

Representations recorded against a section heading relate to the *whole* of that section.

No comments:

- Design Council
- Natural England
- Chilterns Conservation Board
- British Waterways