

**Table 1a: Results – the Number of Representations (Main Consultation)**

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations								
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections					commenting
				saying the core strategy is					
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>		
<b>Forward</b>		1	2	2					
<b>1. Summary of the Strategy</b>		4	2	0					
Text		26	18	1					
<b>Key Diagram</b>		0	2	0					
<b>Part A – Context</b>									
<b>2. Introduction</b>									
Text		1	2	2					
Figures 1 & 2		0	0	0					
<b>3. Borough Portrait</b>		0	1	0					
Text		11	3	0					
Figures 3 - 6		0	0	0					
<b>4. Challenges</b>									
Challenge 1		1	2	0					
Challenge 2		0	0	0					
Challenge 3		1	1	0					
Challenge 4		1	1	1					
Challenge 5		1	2	0					
Challenge 6		1	1	0					
<b>5. Borough Vision</b>		1	1	1					

Core Strategy Reference		Number of Representations							
		Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
					saying the core strategy is				
					<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
Text			2	4	0				
<b>6. Strategic Objectives</b>			3	1	1				
Objective 1	Paragraph 6.2								
Objective 2									
Objective 3									
Objective 4									
Objective 5									
Objective 6									
Objective 7									
Objective 8									
Objective 9			7	8	2				
Objective 10									
Objective 11									
Objective 12									
Objective 13									
Objective 14									
Objective 15									
Objective 16									
Objective 17									
<b>7. Other Plans</b>									
Text			1	0	0				
Figures 7 & 8			0	2	0				

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				not legally compliant	not justified	not effective	inconsistent with national policy	
<b>Part B – The Strategy</b>								
<b>The Sustainable Development Strategy</b>								
Strategic Objectives								
<b>8. Promoting sustainable development</b>		2	1	0				
Text: 8.1-6		5	1	0				
Figures 8 & 9		0	0	0				
Distribution of Development: 8.7-12		1	3	0				
Table 1		5	7	2				
<b>Policy CS1</b>		15	12	2				
Location & Management of Devt: 8.13-16		1	2	0				
<b>Policy CS2</b>		15	10	2				
<b>Policy CS3</b>		9	14	5				
Towns & Large Villages: 8.17-20		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS4</b>		3	5	1				
The Countryside: 8.21-26		5	2	0				
- Green Belt: 8.27-32		2	4	1				
Table 2		2	0	0				
<b>Policy CS5</b>		7	14	3				
- Selected small villages (GB):8.33-34		0	2	0				
<b>Policy CS6</b>		2	4	0				
- Rural Area:8.35-36		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS7</b>		2	4	0				

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				not legally compliant	not justified	not effective	inconsistent with national policy	
<b>9. Enabling convenient access</b>								
Text: 9.1-11		3	2	0				
Table 3		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS8</b>		4	4	0				
<b>Policy CS9</b>		2	2	1				
<b>10. Securing quality design</b>								
Text: 10.1-5		2	2	0				
Successful urban design: 10.6-9		1	0	0				
Figures 11-13		0	0	0				
Quality of the built environment: 10.10-14		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS10</b>		3	2	0				
<b>Policy CS11</b>		2	2	0				
<b>Policy CS12</b>		2	2	0				
Quality of the public realm: 10.15-20		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS13</b>		1	3	0				
<b>Strengthening Economic Prosperity</b>								
Strategic Objectives								
<b>11. Creating jobs and full employment</b>		0	2	2				
Text: 11.1-9		0	0	0				
Table 4		0	1	1				
Low Carbon Economy: 11.10-13		0	1	0				
Maylands Business Park: 11.14		0	0	0				

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
Supporting tourism: 11.15-17		0	6	4				
<b>Policy CS14</b>		4	5	2				
<b>12. Providing for offices, industry, etc</b>								
Text: 12.1-4		0	1	0				
Offices: 12.5-10		0	0	0				
Industry, storage etc: 12.11-13		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS15</b>		2	4	2				
<b>13. Supporting retailing and commerce</b>								
Text: 13.1		0	0	0				
Retail hierarchy: 13.2-4		1	1	0				
Table 5		2	2	0				
Shopping areas: 13.5-6		0	1	0				
Out of centre retail development: 13.7-12		0	2	0				
Table 6		0	3	0				
<b>Policy CS16</b>		1	4	0				
<b>Providing Homes and Community Services</b>								
Strategic Objectives								
14. Providing homes		0	2	2				
Text: 14.1-8		2	3	0				
Housing supply: 14.9-23		12	11	2				
Table 7		0	0	0				
Table 8		0	10	2				

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
Table 9	0	24	10					
<b>Policy CS17</b>	5	28	7					
Housing mix: 14.24-30	1	0	0					
<b>Policy CS18</b>	4	4	1					
Affordable housing: 14.31-38	1	2	1					
<b>Policy CS19</b>	4	10	3					
<b>Policy CS20</b>	1	0	0					
Travelling communities: 14.39-46	0	0	0					
Table 10	0	0	0					
<b>Policy CS21</b>	0	0						
<b>Policy CS22</b>	1	2	2					
<b>15. Meeting community needs</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>					
Delivering community services: 15.1-17	10	3	0					
Figure 14	0	1	0					
Delivering leisure facilities: 15.18-25	0	8	0					
<b>Policy CS23</b>	5	9	3					
<b><i>Looking after the Environment</i></b>								
Strategic Objectives								
<b>16. Enhancing the natural environment</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>					
Text: 16.1	4	1	0					
Protecting/improving the landscape: 16.2-8	3	4	2					
Map 2	0	1	0					

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
Green infrastructure: 16.9-16		9	4	0				
Map 3		0	5	2				
Biodiversity/geological conserv'n:16.17- 24		5	11	1				
Figure 15		0	0	0				
<b>Policy CS24</b>		2	1	0				
<b>Policy CS25</b>		1	1	0				
<b>Policy CS26</b>		3	3	1				
<b>17. Conserving the historic environment</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
Text: 17.1-17		0	1	0				
<b>Policy CS27</b>		2	3	1				
<b>18. Using resources efficiently</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
Text: 18.1-11		5	1	1				
Figure 16		0	0	0				
Renewable energy: 18.12-18		7	1	0				
Map 4		1	0	0				
Table 11		0	3	0				
Sustainable design/construction:18.19-26		7	5	3				
<b>Policy CS28</b>		1	6	0				
<b>Policy CS29</b>		3	11	0				
<b>Policy CS30</b>		0	3	2				
Sustainable resource managem't:18.27-41		18	6	2				
<b>Policy CS31</b>		5	2	0				

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				not legally compliant	not justified	not effective	inconsistent with national policy	
<b>Policy CS32</b>		3	3	1				
<b>Place Strategies</b>								
<b>19. Introduction to Place Strategies</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
Text		1	1	0				
Common Local Objectives		1	2	0				
<b>20. Hemel Hempstead</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>				
Context:20.1-5		1	1	0				
The Visions		2	5	2				
Local Objectives		0	0	0				
Delivering the town strategy: 20.6-11		0	3	1				
Delivering the town centre: 20.12-13		1	2	0				
Figure 17		2	3	0				
Delivering East Hemel: 20.14-19		0	2	0				
Figure 18		1	3	0				
<b>Policy CS33</b>		2	5	1				
<b>Policy CS34</b>		5	5	2				
<b>Proposal LA1</b>		1	19	2				
<b>Proposal LA2</b>		0	41	29				
<b>Proposal LA3</b>		6	5	2				
Figure 19: vision - built		0	4	1				
Figure 20: vision - natural		0	4	2				
Figure 21: the town centre		0	1	0				



Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
Figure 22: East Hemel	0	2	0					
<b>21. Berkhamsted</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>					
Context: 21.1	2	7	2					
The Vision	0	0	0					
Local Objectives	0	0	0					
Delivering the Vision: 21.2-14	16	34	10					
<b>Strategic Site SS1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1</b>					
<b>Proposal LA4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>					
Vision Diagram (Fig 23)	2	6	2					
<b>22. Tring</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>					
Context: 22.1-2	0	4	1					
The Vision	0	1	0					
Local Objectives	0	0	0					
Delivering the Vision: 22.3-9	0	20	8					
<b>Proposal LA5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>4</b>					
Vision Diagram (Fig 24)	0	3	1					
<b>23. Kings Langley</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>					
Context: 23.1	0	3	1					
The Vision	0	0	0					
Local Objectives	0	0	0					
Delivering the Vision: 23.2-6	0	1	1					
Vision Diagram (Fig 25)	0	0	0					

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				not legally compliant	not justified	not effective	inconsistent with national policy	
<b>24. Bovingdon</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>				
Context: 24.1		1	6	3				
The Vision		0	0	0				
Local Objectives		0	0	0				
Delivering the Vision: 24.2-5		1	13	10				
<b>Proposal LA6</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>				
Vision Diagram (Fig 26)		1	0	0				
<b>25. Markyate</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>				
Context: 25.1-4		2	2	0				
The Vision		0	1	0				
Local Objectives		0	0	0				
Delivering the Vision: 25.5-10		0	1	0				
<b>Strategic Site SS2</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>				
Vision Diagram (Fig 27)		0	2	0				
<b>26. Countryside</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>				
Context: 26.1-3		2	1	0				
The Vision		0	0	0				
Local Objectives		0	0	0				
Delivering the Vision: 26.4-18		1	2	0				
Table 12		0	1	0				
Vision Diagram (Fig 28)		0	1	0				
<b>Part C – Implementation and Delivery</b>								

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				not legally compliant	not justified	not effective	inconsistent with national policy	
Strategic Objectives								
<b>27. Delivery</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
Text: 27.1-4		0	0	0				
Partnership Working: 27.5-8		0	0	0				
Key Projects: 27.9-10		0	0	0				
Flexibility & contingency: 27.11-14		4	0	0				
<b>28. Infrastructure</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
Text: 28.1-2		3	0	0				
Infrastructure requirements: 28.3-6		2	2	0				
Developer contributions: 28.7-11		6	0	0				
<b>Policy CS35</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>				
<b>29. Monitoring</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
Text		0	0	0				
<b>Part D – Appendices</b>								
1. Superseded Policies		0	0	0				
2. Housing Trajectory		0	2	1				
3. Delivery Mechanisms		0	1	0				
4. Glossary		0	1	0				
<b>Proposals Map</b>								
General (including omissions)		0	0	0				
<b>SS1: Shootersway</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
<b>SS2: Hicks Road</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				

Core Strategy Reference	Number of Representations							
	Total received	Total in support	Total objecting	Objections				commenting
				saying the core strategy is				
				<i>not legally compliant</i>	<i>not justified</i>	<i>not effective</i>	<i>inconsistent with national policy</i>	
Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	0	0	0					
East Hemel Hempstead Area Action Plan	0	0	0					
Conservation Areas	0	0	0					
Trunk Roads	0	0	0					
<b>TOTAL</b>								

The sum of the objections (columns 5 – 9) in each row does not necessarily equal the total objecting in column 4. An objector may give more than one reason for their objection.

Representations recorded against a section heading relate to the *whole* of that section.